PH- thanks for the clips, including the Bradlee story. Re the Borenstein pages, obviously incomplete, perhaps the incompleteness can be attributed to the nature of the HSCA's request. ...I view the line some critics have taken with Gaudet as nutty and baseless. However, this does not mean that there is no proper interest in him and what he did, as in fingering Borenstein (who I know). It is an enormous diversion as all the nuts went wild. So what could beof interest once again is sublimated and lost....Borenstein is a practising and successful capitalist,whatever he may have been in his youth. ...

In C.A. 7[redacted] C.A. 78-0320 I was to havegotten the Hosty records. Initially they passed compliance date without providing anything, then I got Jim to complain, and then they sent me Dallas 67-425. It is a general personnel file and as a general one is not complete with regard to the SAs or even the assassination investigation. I've written Jim again and asked that I get the Hosty file. One of his reports, the last one of which I know, was hidden in Hosty's personnel file and is not included in any disclosed records. ...What is of any interest at all is duplicative, so I've made no copies for you. This does not count the unsuccessful Shanklin effort to get everybody a croiz de guerre or at least a good conduct ribbon. First he was told to await the report and then he was told no. All the names are obliterated and what Shankling was found to me meritorious is inconsequential. Like Boguslav translating. Actually. ...I've been supposed to get about 1500 pages supposedly withheld by boobs but they've not come and I've not gotten any of the reprocessed records. HW 7/1/8/81